

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P. O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

**OCT 25 2005** PATENT APPLICATION  
ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10012626-1

IN THE  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Robert Sesek et al.

Confirmation No.: 3065

Application No.: 10/006,693

Examiner: S. McAllister

Filing Date: 12/10/2001

Group Art Unit: 3627

Title: Cost and Usage Based Configurable Alerts

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner For Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:

- (X) Response/Amendment ( ) Petition to extend time to respond  
( ) New fee as calculated below ( ) Supplemental Declaration  
( ) No additional fee  
(X) Other: Interview Summary (fee \$                     )

CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY						
(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA	(6) RATE	(7) ADDITIONAL FEES
TOTAL CLAIMS	27	MINUS	72	= 0	X \$50	\$ 0
INDEP. CLAIMS		MINUS		= 0	X \$200	\$ 0
[ ] FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM					+ \$360	\$ 0
EXTENSION FEE	1ST MONTH \$120.00	2ND MONTH \$450.00	3RD MONTH \$1020.00	4TH MONTH \$1590.00		\$ 0
OTHER FEES						\$
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT						\$ 0

Charge \$ 0 to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.20 and 1.21. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

(X) I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted  
to the Patent and Trademark Office facsimile  
number (671) 273-8300 on 10/25/05  
Number of pages: 16

Typed Name: Natalie King

Signature: 

Robert Sesek et al.

By 

James D. Shaurette

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)  
Reg. No. 39,833

Date: 10/25/05

Telephone No.: (509) 624-4276

HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY  
Intellectual Property Administration  
P. O. Box 272400  
Fort Collins, Colorado 80527-2400

## PATENT APPLICATION

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10012626-1

IN THE  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Inventor(s): Robert Sesek et al.

Confirmation No.: 3065

Application No.: 10/006,693

Examiner: S. McAllister

Filing Date: 12/10/2001

Group Art Unit: 3627

Title: Cost and Usage Based Configurable Alerts

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

OCT 25 2005

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner For Patents  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## TRANSMITTAL LETTER FOR RESPONSE/AMENDMENT

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is/are the following in the above-identified application:

- (X) Response/Amendment ( ) Petition to extend time to respond  
( ) New fee as calculated below ( ) Supplemental Declaration  
( ) No additional fee  
(X) Other: Interview Summary (fee \$ )

CLAIMS AS AMENDED BY OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY						
(1) FOR	(2) CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	(3) NUMBER EXTRA	(4) HIGHEST NUMBER PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	(5) PRESENT EXTRA	(6) RATE	(7) ADDITIONAL FEES
TOTAL CLAIMS	27	MINUS	72	= 0	X \$50	\$ 0
INDEP. CLAIMS		MINUS		= 0	X \$200	\$ 0
[ ] FIRST PRESENTATION OF A MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM					+ \$360	\$ 0
EXTENSION FEE	1ST MONTH \$120.00	2ND MONTH \$450.00	3RD MONTH \$1020.00	4TH MONTH \$1590.00		\$ 0
OTHER FEES						\$
TOTAL ADDITIONAL FEE FOR THIS AMENDMENT						\$ 0

Charge \$ 0 to Deposit Account 08-2025. At any time during the pendency of this application, please charge any fees required or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account 08-2025 pursuant to 37 CFR 1.25. Additionally please charge any fees to Deposit Account 08-2025 under 37 CFR 1.16, 1.17, 1.19, 1.20 and 1.21. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

(X) I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted  
to the Patent and Trademark Office facsimile  
number (571) 273-8300 on 10/25/05  
Number of pages: 16

Typed Name: Natalie King

Signature:

Robert Sesek et al.

By

James D. Shaurette

Attorney/Agent for Applicant(s)

Reg. No. 39,833

Date: 10/25/05

Telephone No.: (509) 624-4276

Rev 12/04 (TrAmdFax)

- Attach as First Page to Transmitted Papers -

RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

1

OCT 25 2005

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the United States Postal Service via facsimile to 571-273-8300.

Date of Transmission: 10/25/05Typed Name of Person Transmitting Paper or Fee: Natalie KingSignature: PATENT APPLICATION  
DOCKET NO. 10012626-1IN THE  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

INVENTOR(S): Robert Sese et al.

SERIAL NO.: 10/006,693

GROUP ART UNIT: 3627

FILED: December 10, 2001

EXAMINER: S. McAllister

SUBJECT: Cost and Usage Based Configurable Alerts

MAIL STOP RCE  
ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. BOX 1450  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20231

## INTERVIEW SUMMARY A

SIR:

Applicants wish to thank the Examiner for the courtesies extended to the undersigned during the telephone interview.

The Examiner withdrew the 112, second paragraph rejection of claim 62. Also, Applicants addressed the 112, second paragraph rejection of claims 66-67 in the accompanying response and have amended the claim to generically recite "an expendable" which may correspond to either consumables which are consumed or components which wear during usage. The discussion to generically define and clarify the usage of consumables and components was favorably received by the Examiner during the interview.

Applicants also discussed the subject matter defined by claim 67 with respect to one example of the specification at page 14, lines 21+ as set forth in

Serial No. 10/006,693  
PDNO. 10012626-1  
Interview Summary A

2

the accompanying response and the comments were favorably received by the Examiner with respect to definiteness and patentability over the prior art of claim 67. In addition, the Examiner and the undersigned discussed prior art teachings, motivation for a prima facie rejection and official notice as set forth in the response which accompanies this interview summary with respect to claims 62, 69, 70, and/or 72.

If the claims are not allowed, the Examiner and undersigned discussed possible issuance of a non-final action with respect to providing objective support of the motivation for a proper prima facie rejection and identifying specific prior art teachings for the rejections wherein the Office relied upon the taking of judicial notice or otherwise did not identify teachings relied upon by the Office as discussed in further detail in the accompanying response. The Examiner indicated that the request for a non-final Action would be considered.

The Examiner also indicated that the newly added method claims of the response and which correspond to the apparatus claims would be considered and not restricted from the present application.

The Examiner is requested to phone the undersigned if the Examiner believes such would facilitate prosecution of the present application. The undersigned is available for telephone consultation at any time during normal business hours (Pacific Time Zone).

Respectfully submitted,

Robert Sese et al.

By:

  
James D. Shaurette

Reg. No. 39,833

Date:

10/25/05

Serial No. 10/006,693  
PDNO. 10012626-1  
Interview Summary A